



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/005,052

12/04/2001

Adelmo Monsalve-Gonzalez

5553

9205

30173

7590

01/05/2010

GENERAL MILLS, INC.

P.O. BOX 1113

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440

EXAMINER

TRAN LIEN, THUY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1794

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/05/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: ADELMO MONSALVE-GONZALEZ
and ARUNA PRAKASH

Application No. 10/005,052
Technology Center: 1700

Mailed: January 5, 2010

Before GLORIA HENDERSON, *Review Team Paralegal*
HENDERSON, *Review Team Paralegal*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on January 4, 2010. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

Application No. 10/005,052

EXAMINER'S ANSWER, EVIDENCE RELIED UPON

The Examiner's Answer mailed September 25, 2009, is defective because the Patent Application Numbers are missing from the (8) Evidence Relied Upon Section of the Examiner's Answer. It only list the names and dates of the references. See MPEP 1207.02.

Before further review, the examiner must mail a Form PTOL-90, that will correct and amend the Evidence Relied Upon Section and identify all references used to reject the claims on appeal.

、 REPLY BRIEF NOTED

On November 23, 2009, applicants filed a timely Reply Brief. In accordance with the revision effective September 13, 2004, Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §41.43 states:

(a)(1)... the primary examiner must acknowledge receipt and entry of the reply brief. In addition, the primary examiner may withdraw the final rejection and reopen prosecution or may furnish a supplemental examiner's answer responding to any new issue raised in the reply brief.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly,
it is ORDERED that the application is being electronically returned
to the Examiner:

- 1) to hold the Examiner's Answer mailed September 25, 2009,
defective;
- 2) to issue and mail a Form PTOL-90, amending and/or correcting the
Examiner's Answer (8) Evidence Relied Upon;
- 3) for consideration and proper response to the Reply Brief filed
November 23, 2009; and
- 4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the
Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

GJH

GENERAL MILLS, INC
P.O. BOX 1113
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440